

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

10/560577

IAP9 Rec'd PCT/PTO 12 DEC 2009

Applicant: Mark Bobrow

Int'l Application No.: PCT/US04/18524

Filed: 10 June 2004

Title: HYDROLYTIC SUBSTRATES FOR AN ANALYTE-DEPENDENT ENZYME  
ACTIVATION SYSTEM

---

**COMMENTS ON THE WRITTEN OPINION OF  
THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**International Bureau of WIPO  
34 chemin des Colombettes  
1211 Geneva 20  
SWITZERLAND

Dear Sirs:

Applicant submits the following comments on the Written Opinion of the International Searching Authority mailed 16 February 2005, in connection with this application.

Applicant respectfully submits that there is an error in the statement, Section 1, of the Written Opinion. In the Section 1 statement, under the caption "Novelty (N)" in the line reading: "Claims \_\_\_\_\_ YES," the blank is filled in to read "NONE" thereby indicting that none of the twenty pending claims meet the standards for novelty. The following line reading: "Claims \_\_\_\_\_ NO," is filled in to read "15-18 and 20."


Section 2 captioned "Citations and Explanations" in subparagraph a) states that claims 15-18 and 20 lack novelty. The subparagraph goes on to explain the basis for this opinion. There is no discussion of any lack of novelty with regard to claims 1-14 and 19. Applicant respectfully submits that the statement in Section 1 should reflect that claims 1-14 and 19

meet the standards for novelty. Applicant notes for the record that this is in accord with the International Search Report Section C which asserts that claims 15-18 and 20 are lacking in novelty in view of the cited prior art reference of Tsai et al.

Applicant also notes for the record that the section of the statement labeled "Inventive Step (IS)" also references claims 1-18 and 20 as lacking in inventive step, while subparagraphs b)-c) of Section 2 "Citations and Explanations" state that claims 1-20 are lacking in inventive step.

In order to avoid confusion in subsequent prosecution, Applicant respectfully requests that the Written Opinion be corrected.

Respectfully submitted,



Ronald W. Citkowski  
Registration No. 31,005  
Gifford, Krass, Groh, Sprinkle,  
Anderson & Citkowski, P.C.  
2701 Troy Center Drive, Suite 330  
P.O. Box 7021  
Troy, MI 48007-7021  
(248) 647-6000

Dated: 29-March-05

Attorney for Applicant

RWC/gs

GS-W:\Word Processing\rwc\NEN22352-resp.doc